

Investigation Oversight Committee Effectiveness Review Questionnaire

1. The Role of the Committee

a. The role of the Committee is understood and clearly defined in its terms of reference.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

• Overall comment this committee works very well and has been able to respond to unexpected issues effectively and swiftly. e.g departure of registrar and review uncertainties.

b. Committee Members understand their individual role and what is expected of them.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

c. The Committee considers ARB's risk appetite in making decisions and advising the Board.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

d. The Committee has sufficient time to discharge its responsibilities.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

e. Committee members are given equal opportunity to contribute to discussions on the agenda.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

f. The Committee receives appropriate advice from or via the management team and staff.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

g. The Committee understands the issues which are on the horizon for ARB which may impact on the Committee's area of work.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

h. The Committee is aware of the areas in which it can take decisions under the Scheme of Decision Making.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

i. The Committee receives sufficient, timely, good quality information in which to base its decisions.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

j. The Committee is the right size and sufficiently diverse.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

k. The Committee has the right mix of skills and experience







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

I. The Committee is adequately supported by the staff.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

m. Committee members receive appropriate induction and ongoing development.







(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments:

n. The Committee understands its responsibility to the public sector equality duty under the Equality Act 2010, and weighs this carefully in its decision making.





(Please note there were only two respondents in 2013 compared to three respondents in 2014)

Comments: