

Consultation 2011/11 - Changes to General Rules 22, 24 and 24A

General Rules 22, 24 and 24A cover re-entry to the Register.

- The proposed change to Rule 22 permits the Board to vary the prescribed period permitted under section 18(3) of the Act which relates to re-entry following erasure.
- The proposed changes to Rule 24 reflect proposed changes to Rule 11.
- It is proposed that Rule 24A be removed. This Rule provided for a third party review where an application which is not subject to an appeal through the Courts, is rejected by the Board. All routes to registration are now subject to appeal.

Response from:

(Name) (Job Title) (Organisation) (Postal address) (email address)

Please complete and return to Architects Registration Board, 8 Weymouth Street, London W1W 5BU, by Friday 21 October 2011, or you can email your response to elaines@arb.org.uk. You can also fax your response to 020 7436 5269.



Architects Registration Board

Original document	Draft suggested document	Comments
22 The prescribed period for the purposes of Section 18 (2) (b) of the Act shall be one year.	22 The prescribed period for the purposes of Section 18 (2) (b) of the Act shall be one year unless otherwise directed by the Board.	
 24 In relation to any person applying for re-entry to the Register following removal under Section 11 of the Act, the Registrar may waive or vary: a) the requirements of Rule 11; or b) any procedures operated by the Board to establish an applicant's standard of competence for the purposes of Section 4(1)(b) of the Act. 	 24 In relation to any person applying for re-entry to the Register following removal under Section 11 of the Act, the Registrar may waive or vary: a) the requirements of Rule 11; or b) any procedures operated by the Board to establish an applicant's standard of competence for the purposes of Section 4(1)(b) of the Act. Applicants will be required to complete an application form and pay any applicable 	

	fees as determined by the Board.
Where an applicant for registration has een rejected following consideration y the Board and the Act does not rovide for an appeal to be made arough the Courts, the applicant may pply for a third party review of rocedure. Such an application may nly be made on the grounds that the rocedure set down by the Board has ot been followed or that the rocedure was inappropriate or nefficient. An application for an adependent third party review of the rocedure must be made within thirty ays of the written notification that the application for registration was not uccessful. In the event of a dispute, he application for a review will be considered as properly lodged if the pplicant can demonstrate that it was osted within 30 days of the otification of the decision. Upon eccipt of such an application, the	registration has a consideration of does not be made applicant may eview of olication may ounds that the the Board has nat the priate or on for an y review of the de within thirty ification that stration was not of a dispute, view will be lodged if the rate that it was of the sion. Upon

Registrar will appoint an independent third party ("the Reviewer") who will conduct a review of the procedure in accordance with the Terms of Reference laid down by the Board, available on request from the Secretariat.

The Reviewer will submit a report to the Registrar, who will send it to the Board and to the applicant within the timescale specified. The Board may consider its content and decide whether to:

- a) review its decision in light of any deficiency in its procedure (where it has the power to do so).
- b) take no further action, giving reasons to the Registrar and applicant.