1.	Introduction
2.1	Principles
2.1.1	The material upon which candidates will be examined will be: a Comparative Matrix stating where and how the candidate believes the Supporting Material demonstrates compliance with each of the criteria; supporting Material created by the candidate which may include design projects, technical essays and dissertations, or any other material that the candidate deems relevant;
	• oral Explanations on the Comparative Matrix and supporting material given by candidates who qualify for the examination interview (see paragraphs 2.6.3-2.6.5 below).
2.1.2	The candidate decides, and identifies explicitly and clearly in the Comparative Matrix, what supporting material is to be examined against which criteria. No supporting material will be examined which is not clearly identified in the Comparative Matrix. Supporting material will only be examined against the specific criteria identified by the candidate in the Comparative Matrix. The Comparative Matrix and the supporting material must be the candidate's own work; this requirement may need to be established in the course of the examination. The Board will consider collaborative work, but will wish to see evidence confirming the extent of the candidates contribution.
2.1.3	A candidate whose work is found to be plagiarised at any point in the examination process will not be permitted to continue with their examination. The Board may wish to use any standard tool for the purpose of investigating plagiarism. Re-application will be at the discretion of the Registrar.
2.1.4	The Board will publish guidance on the nature of the Comparative Matrix. The Comparative Matrix must be completed on the Board's form.
2.1.5	No guidance or advice can be given by the Board in relation to the nature of the supporting material. However, the Board publishes guidelines as to the acceptable formats in which such material may be presented. Such guidelines are published on the Board's website.
2.1.6	The Board will not give any advice or guidance to candidates beyond that set out in the published guidelines. Any feedback or other comment made by staff or by an examiner represents the individual view of the person giving it and, whilst given in good faith, does not commit the Board or any other individual thereafter.
2.1.7	The Board will specify in its guidance the amount of supporting material permitted.
2.2	Eligibility
2.2.1	Part 1 Candidates are eligible for examination at Part 1 if they have gained a non-prescribed qualification in architecture at first degree level after completing a course of at least three years' full time duration or part time equivalent.

Candidates with qualifications at first degree level with an architecture component which has been studied alongside other subjects, may also be eligible for examination. In such circumstances, the candidate must submit a completed form from the awarding institution evidencing that the candidate has been examined against requirements comparable to the Board's criteria for Part 1 in terms of the subjects covered and the levels of achievement required, and that the course of study leading to the qualification awarded was concerned principally with architecture. Candidates may also evidence this by providing a statement from the registration or professional body in the country of award (for those outside the UK only) confirming that the qualification awarded supports access to the profession of architect in that country. These documents will be reviewed and where they are found to provide the necessary level of assurance candidates will be permitted access to the examination.

Candidates who have undertaken a course of study to degree level principally in architecture, but where completion of that course of study does not lead to the award of a qualification, may be eligible for examination if they can provide a transcript from the institution confirming that they have passed all examinations, modules and assessments related to architecture.

2.2.2 Part 2

Candidates are eligible for examination at Part 2 if:

(a) they have gained a non-prescribed qualification in architecture at second degree or diploma level or above after undertaking a course of study of no less than two years' full time or part time equivalent, subsequent to their being awarded a first degree qualification; or have undertaken at least five years' study if no prior qualification has been awarded;

and have

(b) either passed the Board's prescribed examination at Part 1; or have gained a qualification prescribed by the Board at Part 1. Candidates with qualifications at second degree or diploma level or above with an architecture component which has been studied alongside other subjects, may also be eligible for examination. In such circumstances, the candidate must submit a document from the awarding institution certifying that the candidate has been examined against requirements comparable to the criteria at Part 2 in terms of the subjects covered and the levels of achievement required, and that both the course of study leading to the qualification awarded and the qualification as awarded was concerned principally with architecture. Candidates may also evidence this by providing a statement from the registration or professional body in the country of award (for those outside the UK only) confirming that the qualification awarded supports access to the profession of architect in that country. These documents will be reviewed and where they are found to provide the necessary level of assurance candidates will be permitted access to the examination.

2.2.3 General Requirements

(a) The fee for examination shall be as determined by the Board. No

- candidate will be eligible for examination unless they have paid the prescribed fee. The fees payable are published by the Board and are obtainable from it on application or from its website.
- (b) A scrutiny fee will apply to all applications and will be deducted from the application fee in the event that a refund is made where an application for examination at Part 1 or Part 2 is found not to meet the Board's eligibility requirements.
- (c) Candidates whose first language is not English are required to submit a valid International English Language Testing System (IELTS) certificate of 6.5 or above with their application for examination.
- (d) EU nationals whose first language is not English may also be required to demonstrate their English Language proficiency and submit a valid IELTS certificate of 6.5 or above with their application.

Alternatives to IELTS may be considered and candidates will be required to complete the Board's English Language Exemption Form and provide evidence as listed on the form.

The Board will then decide whether or not the IELTS is required. The Board's decision will be final in this respect.

- (e) Whilst candidates may submit work for examination which has been undertaken in an architect's practice, time spent in an architect's practice or other employment which would be considered suitable under the Board's guidelines on practical experience, it is important that candidates are aware practice work does not provide the same level of assurance as the minimum requirements for time spent in formal study set out in sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 above.
- (f) Candidates who have undertaken a course of study principally in architecture leading to either first degree level qualification or second degree or diploma level qualification, but who have not received a qualification because they failed any examination, module or assessment leading to that qualification (other than in a subject unrelated to architecture), are not eligible for examination. Candidates who have-
- i. transferred from a course of study leading to a prescribed qualification, to an alternative course of study concluding in a non-prescribed qualification for failing to meet the necessary standard of attainment, or for failing to submit a necessary course requirement, or ii. received a non-prescribed qualification after following a course of study leading to a prescribed qualification for failing to meet the necessary standard of attainment, or for failing to submit a necessary course requirement,

are not generally eligible to be examined.

The Board may where it has doubt about a candidate's eligibility under 2.2.3(f) request that the candidate obtains a statement from the head of the awarding school, or a person of equivalent responsibility, to confirm that neither subsection i. nor ii. applies to the candidate.

2.3 Submitting an application

2.3.1 Before dates for the examination are set, candidates must submit:

- a completed application form with the prescribed material to confirm eligibility (certificates, transcripts information about the curricular content of the course of study completed etc.)
- a completed Comparative Matrix

	the prescribed fee. No application will be accepted unless the
	Comparative Matrix, all prescribed material and the prescribed fee are
	submitted with the application form. A full list of prescribed material
	will be supplied as part of the application documents.
2.3.2	Candidates must not submit supporting material with the application
	form and the Comparative Matrix. See section 2.5 below. Supporting
	material submitted by the candidate with the application form will be
	returned to the candidate.
2.3.3	In addition to submitting a Comparative Matrix and supporting material
	for examination, candidates should note that they will be required to
	attend an interview or feedback session as part of the examination.
2.4	Notification of exam date
2.4.1	Available dates for examination will be listed on the application form.
	Candidates will identify on the form their preferred dates for
	examination in order of preference. Candidates should not identify
	dates earlier than six weeks after submission of the application form,
	nor later than six months after the submission date.
	The Board will try to allocate to candidates their first choice dates for
	examination, but this may not always be possible. Dates for
	examination will be allocated by the Board on a "first come, first
	served" basis. Candidates will normally be notified of the dates of
	examination within three weeks of the application being received and
	accepted by the Board. No examination dates will be allocated until the
	application is received and accepted.
2.4.2	Candidates must notify the Board in writing within seven days of receipt
	of the notification of examination dates if they are unable to be
	examined on the allocated dates. Candidates must identify in their
	notification which other dates of those listed on their application form
	they are available to be examined. Within three weeks of receipt of this
	notification, candidates will then be notified of the revised dates of
	examination.
2.4.3	Candidates who are unable to attend on the allocated dates, and who
	fail to notify the Board within seven days of receipt of the notification
	of the examination date that they cannot attend, may forfeit the fee for
	examination. Complete failure to notify will always result in the
	candidate forfeiting the examination fee.
2.5	Submission of supporting material
2.5.1	Candidates must submit all supporting material by the prescribed
	deadline
2.5.2	It is the candidate's responsibility to ensure that all supporting material
	is clearly labelled and identifiable from the Comparative Matrix.
	Material which, in the opinion of the examiners, is not clearly
	identifiable may not be examined. Materials included together with the
	supporting material that are not cited in the candidate's Comparative
	Matrix will not be examined.
2.5.3	Supporting material must be submitted in a format which complies with
	the Board's guidelines. Material which, in the opinion of the examiners,
	does not comply with the Board's guidelines will not be examined.
	and the second of Said and the second miles.
2.5.4	Supporting material which exceeds the published guidelines may not be
	examined in its entirety where examiners are likely to exceed the
	- Commed in its criticity where examiners are likely to exceed the

	mayinum time nermitted for evenination. Eveninars will decide
	maximum time permitted for examination. Examiners will decide
	amongst themselves which supporting material is most relevant in
	these circumstances.
2.6	The Examination
2.6.1	The Board will appoint a pool of examiners who will be registered architects from both practice and academia. Three examiners from the pool will be chosen by the Registrar to form the examination team
	(henceforth the examiners) for each candidate. One examiner will lead the examiners and be responsible for ensuring that all time limits are adhered to; that the appropriate administrative work of the examiners
	is completed, and will act as the main point of contact between the examiners and the Board's staff. The examiners will be required to
	make a judgment as to whether or not they are confident that the
	candidate has demonstrated compliance with all the Board's criteria
	and will report that judgment in writing to the Registrar.
2.6.2	The examiners, in the first instance within a period of up to 60 minutes, will make a judgment as to whether or not the Comparative Matrix and
	supporting material demonstrate that either:
	(a) all the criteria are met; or
	(b) half or more of the criteria are met.
2.6.3	If the Comparative Matrix and supporting material is not considered by
2.0.5	the examiners to meet the requirements of (a) or (b) above, the
	examiners will recommend that the candidate fail the examination, and
	the candidate will not be required to offer oral explanations at an
	examination interview, however, the examiners may make use of this
	time to offer feedback.
	The examiners will identify on the Board's form where, in relation to
	specific criteria, compliance has not been demonstrated, and may
	comment briefly on the nature of the deficiencies. Examiners may make
	use of this time to offer oral feedback. Feedback will be given only in
	relation to the criteria which have not been met. The limits of feedback
	are described at Section 2.1.6.
2.6.4	If the Comparative Matrix and supporting material is considered by the
	examiners to meet the requirements of (a) above, the examiners will
	prepare a series of questions to ask the candidate orally at the
	examination interview. This interview will be for a period of up to 45
	minutes. The candidate's oral responses to these will form the basis of
	the examiners' judgment as to whether or not they can be confident
	that the Comparative Matrix and supporting material is derived from a
	sufficient understanding of all relevant matters.
	Candidates will be expected not merely to show familiarity with the
	work, but also be able to explain and justify their work. If the
	candidate's response to questioning is sufficient to enable the
	examiners to be confident that the Comparative Matrix and supporting
	material is derived from a sufficient understanding of all relevant
	matters, then the examiners will recommend that the candidate pass
	the examination. If the candidate's response is insufficient, then the
	examiners will recommend that the candidate fail the examination.
	The examiners will identify in writing on the Board's form the reasons
	as to why, in their judgment, the candidate's response to questioning
	was insufficient to enable them to be confident that the Comparative

	Matrix and/or supporting material was derived from a sufficient
	understanding of all relevant matters.
2.6.5	If the Comparative Matrix and supporting material is considered by the
	examiners to satisfy (b) above, the examiners will prepare a series of
	questions to ask the candidate orally at the examination interview. The
	responses will form the basis of the examiners' judgment as to whether
	or not those criteria that were not clearly met prior to interview can
	now be considered to have been met following the candidate's oral
	explanations.
	The responses to questions will also form the basis of the examiners'
	judgment as to whether or not they can be confident that the
	Comparative Matrix and supporting material is derived from a sufficient
	understanding of all relevant matters. If the candidate's response to
	questioning is sufficient to enable the examiners to be confident that all
	the criteria have been met, the examiners will recommend that the
	candidate be recognised as having passed the examination. If the
	candidate's response to questioning is either insufficient to enable the
	examiners to be confident that all the criteria have been met, and/or
	the Comparative Matrix and supporting material is derived from a
	sufficient understanding of all relevant matters, then the examiners will recommend that the candidate fail the examination.
	The examiners will provide written feedback on the Board's form the
	reasons as to how, in their judgment, the candidate failed to meet the
	required standard. The limits on feedback are described in Section
	2.1.6. Where examiners do not discuss or reference any specific piece
	of supporting material, this does not imply that properly identified and
	cited material has not been taken account of as part of the examiners'
	deliberations.
2.6.6	Decisions of the examiners are made by majority in the event of
	disagreement between them.
2.7	Independent Examiners
2.7.1	The Board will appoint independent examiners who will be registered
	architects with experience of examining within United Kingdom Schools
	of Architecture. Independent examiners will report to the Registrar and to the Board's Prescription Committee on the following matters:
	• the consistency of examiners' judgments
	• the conduct of examiners and the fairness of the examination process
	• the quality of the feedback offered to candidates
	• the performance of candidates
	If the Registrar is satisfied with the conduct of the Examinations, he or
	she will report this to the Board at its next meeting.
	If the Registrar is not satisfied he or she will report this to the Board at
	the earliest possible Board meeting, and the report may refer to any
	actions taken by the Registrar in response to the reports and/or
	recommend actions that the Board may wish to take.
	The Registrar will report on the Prescribed Examination to the Board
	more fully on an annual basis.
2.7.2	At least one independent examiner will be present for each session of
	examinations. Independent examiners will sample Comparative Matrix
	and supporting material and will observe a selection of interviews. The
	extent of the samples will be at the independent examiner's discretion,

	but it will be extensive enough to allow the independent examiner to have sufficient confidence to comment on and confirm whether or not
	all candidates passing the examination have met all the criteria.
2.7.3	Independent examiners will not intervene in the examination of
	individual candidates by the examiners. However, they may report to
	the Registrar any concerns arising from the examination of individually
	named candidates, and provide advice to him or her in relation to such
	candidates
2.8	The Registrar
2.8.1	If the Registrar is satisfied, taking into account the report of the
	examiners and any reports or advice from the independent examiner(s),
	that the candidate has demonstrated the required standard of
	competence, he or she shall notify the candidate that the relevant
	examination has been passed.
2.8.2	If the Registrar is satisfied, taking into account the report of the
	examiners and any reports or advice from the independent examiner(s),
	that the candidate has not demonstrated the required standard of
	competence, he or she shall notify the candidate that the relevant
	examination has been failed.
2.8.3	If the Registrar is unable to decide on the basis of the material before
	him or her as to whether or not the candidate has demonstrated that
	he/she has met all the criteria, the Registrar may consult with the
	Prescription Committee and may require that the candidate be re-
	examined. The Registrar may seek further information or advice as they
	see fit to aid this decision. Re-examination will not normally incur payment of the prescribed fee, but this shall be at the Registrar's
	discretion.
2.8.4	Candidates will normally be notified in writing of the Registrar's
2.0.4	decision within three weeks of the examination.
2.8.5	The Registrar or the Board may, in exceptional cases, be satisfied that a
	person is entitled to be registered, notwithstanding the fact that the
	person does not meet one or more of the requirements of these rules
	and procedures, and direct registration accordingly.
2.8.6	In accordance with Section 4(5) of the Act, the Registrar shall refer to
	the Board any application which it would be inappropriate for him or
	her to consider.
2.8.7	The Registrar or the Board may, in exceptional cases, waive any
	requirement of eligibility to undertake the examinations referred to in
	these rules.
2.9	The Board's Prescription Committee
	2.9.1 The Board_'s Prescription Committee, on behalf of the Board, shall
	oversee the operation of these procedures. The <u>Board</u> Committee will:
	appoint examiners and independent examiners
	• receive reports from the <u>Registrar or</u> independent examiners and
	decide on any action in response to these
	• <u>appoint convene</u> <u>independent</u> appeals panels (see Appendix 2)
ıl	• receive feedback from those affected by these procedures
	advise the Boardseek advice -on-any amendments required - or any ather matters in relation to those procedures s
	other matters in relation to these procedures.s and consider any other matters in relation to these procedures that
	the Board may request.
	the board may request.

2.10	The Royal Institute of British Architects (RIBA) and others
2.10.1	The Board's Examinations are for those who intend to register as an
2.10.1	architect in the United Kingdom. However, passing the Board's
	Examinations may also used by the RIBA and others for the purposes of
	deciding eligibility for membership where a candidate's qualifications
	are not already recognised by that body. Candidates will be made
	aware of this. The Board will notify the RIBA of the Registrar's decisions
	under 2.8.1- 2.8.2 of this procedure, where a candidate notifies the
2.40.2	Board that they wish the RIBA to be informed of that decision. So that the RIBA and others can maintain their confidence in the
2.10.2	
	examination process, the RIBA and others may nominate persons for
	appointment by the Board to its pool of examiners. Up to 50% of the
2.404	Board's pool of examiners may be nominees of others.
2.1 <u>0</u> 1	Diversity
2.1 <u>0</u> 1.1	The Prescribed Examination will conform to the Board's published
	equality scheme.
	Appendix 1– Re-Examination and Referral to Lead Examiner
A.1.1	1. In the circumstances that a candidate receives notification under
	section 2.8.2 that they have failed the examination, they may apply to
	be re-examined at any stage following receipt of the notification. No
	candidate shall be permitted to apply to be re-examined more than
	twice, unless the Registrar is satisfied that there is substantial evidence
	that the candidate's level of competence has materially improved since
	the last examination. Where a candidate applies to be re-examined, the
	application will be considered under these rules or any others which
	the Board may approve for that purpose at the time of application.
A.1.2	Referral to Lead Examiner
	2. In order to be considered for referral to lead examiner, a candidate
	must satisfy the four criteria within GC1 and 32 further criteria.
	2.A. In the event of changes made to the Criteria, to be considered for
	referral to lead examiner, all Criteria related to design must be satisfied
	and at least 80% of the remaining criteria.
	3. At Part 1 level, subject to the decision of the majority of the
	examiners, the candidate may be offered the opportunity of satisfying
	the outstanding criteria by referral to the lead examiner, who will
	consider a single further submission made by the candidate. Where the
	lead examiner finds that all the criteria have then been met in
	accordance with 2.6.2(a), he or she will report that finding to the
	Registrar. Candidates eligible for referral to lead examiner at Part 1
	must satisfy the outstanding criteria within 12 months following receipt
	of the notification of failure.
	4. At Part 2 level, subject to the decision of the majority of the
	examiners the candidate may be offered the opportunity of satisfying
	the outstanding criteria by referral to the lead examiner, who will
	consider a single further submission made by the candidate. Where the
	lead examiner finds that all the criteria have then been met in
	accordance with 2.6.2(a), he or she will report that finding to the
	Registrar.
	Candidates eligible for referral to lead examiner at Part 2 must satisfy
	the outstanding criteria within 12 months following receipt of the notification of failure.
	notification of failure.

	Final Control of the
	5. Where the lead examiner finds at a referral that the remaining
	criteria have not been satisfied, he or she will recommend (subject to
	eligibility) that the candidate be re-examined for all criteria.
	6. Where time limits are specified in 2, 3 and 4 above, candidates who
	do not completely satisfy the outstanding criteria within the specified
	period, either for reasons of achievement or by failing to complete the
	process within the specified time, will be required to make an
	application for re-examination against all the criteria.
	7. No candidate will be re-examined if it is found that they have
	submitted an Comparative Matrix and/or supporting material any part
	of which is not their own work. The Board will consider collaborative
	work, but will wish to see evidence confirming the extent of the
	candidates contribution.
	8. No candidate will be re-examined if it is found that any part of their
	documentation is not genuine.
	Please see separate fee schedule.
	Appendix 2. Appeals
A.2.1	Eligibility and Grounds for Appeal
1.1	A candidate who has notification under section 2.8.2 of failure to pass
1.1	the examination may appeal to the Board to review the Registrar's
	decision
1.2	Candidates may lodge an appeal on any of the following grounds:
1.2.1	Defects or irregularities in the conduct of the examination and/or
1.2.1	examination process that had a materially adverse effect on the
	candidate's performance.
1.2.2	·
1.2.2	Special circumstances (by way of example illness, family bereavement
	etc.) which were not known to the examiners at the time of
	examination and the candidate can show good reason why such circumstances could not have been made known to the examiners at
A 2 2	the time of the examination.
A.2.2	Matters not subject to appeal
2.1	Academic decisions made by examiners on different occasions will
	reflect a judgment made about a number of factors, including candidate
	performance, supporting documents etc. and are therefore not subject
	to appeal.
2.2	Disagreement with the decision or feedback provided does not
	constitute grounds for appeal. Any feedback or other comment
	represents the individual view of the person giving it and, whilst given
	in good faith, does not commit the Board or any other individual
	thereafter.
A.2.3	Lodging an appeal
3.1	An appeal must be lodged within 30 days of notification of the failure
	being sent to the candidate. It should be addressed to the Chair of the
	Prescription Committee Board. The appeal must be in writing, and
	should include the following: • full details of the circumstances relevant
	to the ground(s) of the appeal; and • the reasons as to why the
	candidate considers that those circumstances justify the decision of the
	Registrar being annulled. The candidate should also enclose copies of
	any relevant documentation that they wish to be taken into account.
3.2	Supporting Material is not reconsidered at appeal and must not form
	part of a submission.
	1 .

mittee
ner(s)
hich
the
ng
J
peals
f the
1
a <u>n</u>
er will
will
date
nd
he
vise,
ing.
didate
2
own
dure
peal
a
of the
ew of
wn by
- 1
of

7.4	The Reviewer will submit a report to the Registrar who will send it to
	the Panel and to the candidate within the timescale specified. The Panel
	may consider its content and decide whether to: (a) review its decision
	in the light of any deficiency in its procedure (where it has the power to
	do so). (b) take no further action, giving reasons to the Registrar and
	the candidate.